Procurement and Contracts 900 SW Jackson, Room 652 S Topeka, KS 66612-1212



Phone: (785) 296-2376 Fax: (785) 296-7240 chris.howe@da.ks.gov www.da.ks.gov/purch

Dennis R. Taylor, Secretary Chris Howe, Director Sam Brownback, Governor

AMENDMENTRequest for Proposal

Amendment Date:	October 8, 2012
Amendment Number:	1
Bid Event ID:	EVT0001723
Document Number:	RFX0000303
Closing Date:	October 26, 2012, 2:00 PM
Procurement Officer: Telephone: E-Mail Address: Web Address:	Tami Sherley 785-296-3122 tami.sherlery@da.ks.gov http://da.ks.gov/purch
Item:	Services, Application Development
Agency:	Department for Children and Families
Period of Contract:	Date of Award through June 30, 2015 (with the option to renew for three (3) additional one (1) year periods)
Conditions:	
See the attached answ the above mentioned R	ers to questions submitted to the Procurement and Contracts concerning FP.
	mendment must be submitted with your bid. If your bid response has been endment by the closing date indicated above.
I (We) have read and und	derstand this amendment and agree it is a part of my (our) bid response.
NAME OF COMPANY O	R FIRM:
SIGNED BY:	
	DATE:

Amendment Number 1 EVT0001723 was recently posted to the Procurement and Contracts' Internet website. The bid document can be downloaded by going to the following website:

http://www.da.ks.gov/purch/RFQ/

It is the vendor's responsibility to monitor the Division of Purchases website on a regular basis for any changes/addenda.

- Q1. This is not the RFP for the feasibility study-correct?
- A1. Correct
- Q2. Will there be a subsequent procurement for the full replacement of the KAECSES? Or will the state only be issuing this RFP for the modernization/maintenance of KAECSES?
- A2. At this time there is only this RFP released. While plans continue for full replacement the timing of the effort has not been determined. It's based on time and budgets.
- Q3. If there is another procurement for KAECSES replacement, if a vendor bids on document RFX0000303, will they be precluded from bidding on the full replacement RFP for KAECSES?
- A3. The two are distinct and separate initiatives. There should not be anything precluding someone from both efforts.
- Q4. Are there any attachments to the RFP?
- A4. Nothing more than what was provided.
- Q5. Is an award by line item a possibility in this opportunity?
- A5. No, this work should be bid as a package.
- Q6. The RFP states that today KAECSES "is maintained and supported by in-house and contract staff." How many consultants currently service this scope of work? What is the contract number of the current contract that covers this scope of work?
- A6. Today's environment should not be a complete mirror of the work to be accomplished as written in the RFP. The contract closing that precipitates the need for this RFP represents 1 contractor. The current contract # is ITS-0711-0612-4881 for the current contract ending in November. But again to emphasize, there is not a contract number for this particular work effort as it is a new request with the currently prioritized work efforts required by the business for the upcoming year.
- Q7. What is the anticipated breakdown of support needed for the system languages? For example, 10% ASP, 20% C#, 50% CA-GEN, 10% COBOL, 5% Natural, 5%
- A7. 60% CA-GEN, 30% COBOL, 5% SAS, 3% Natural, 1% C#, 1% ASP
- Q8. Does the system use CA-GEN in block mode or client/server?
- A8. Block Mode
- Q9. Will you please describe how the Cost Sheet will be used in the evaluation? Since the scope of work will be organized into work packages with measurable increments of work effort broken down into two-week milestones and prioritized by the State Systems Development Manager and CSS business area, we would like to know the work volume assumption that will be used to evaluate cost.
- A9. The cost sheet will be used to evaluate the responses from the vendor on how the costing of the work packages will be calculated.
- Q10. What vendor, if any, is currently providing M&O support?
- A10. Maintenance and support are covered and shared by staff and contractors today along with prioritized project and service requests. This work would be continued to be shared.
- Q11. What is the current billing rate for the incumbent?
- A11. This work is for new efforts as listed in the RFP. Past rates are irrelevant for this bidding process.
- Q12. When does the incumbent's current contract end?
- A12. November 30, 2012.